

# *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University*

---

*Volume 14, Issue 2*

1969

*Article 8*

DECEMBER 1970

---

## Units in commutative integral group rings

Sudarshan K. Sehgal\*

\*University of Alberta

Copyright ©1969 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

# UNITS IN COMMUTATIVE INTEGRAL GROUP RINGS\*

SUDARSHAN K. SEHGAL

**1. Introduction.** Let us denote by  $R(G)$  the group ring of a group  $G$  with coefficients from the ring  $R$ . Let  $U(Z(G))$  be the group of units of the integral group ring  $Z(G)$ . It is well known (see [1], [3] and [4]) that if  $G$  is finite abelian

$$U(Z(G)) = \pm G \times F \quad \text{where}$$

$F$  is a free group of rank  $\frac{1}{2}((G:1) + 1 + n_2 - 2C)$  and  $n_2$  is the number of elements of  $G$  of order 2 and  $C$  is the number of cyclic subgroups of  $G$ . In this note we compute  $U(Z(G))$  for  $G$  arbitrary abelian and prove that

$$U(Z(G)) = \{\alpha g \mid g \in G, \alpha \in U(Z(H)) \text{ where } H \text{ is a finite subgroup of } G\}.$$

It is clear that when  $G$  is finite abelian any automorphism  $\theta$  of  $Z(G)$  is induced from a group automorphism i. e.  $\theta(g) = \pm g$ ,  $g \in G$  for  $g \in G$ . We compute the group of automorphisms of  $Z(G)$  when  $G$  is finitely generated abelian.

## 2. Units of $Z(G)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *If  $I$  is an integral domain and  $G$  a torsion free abelian group then the unit group of  $I(G)$  is  $U(I) \cdot G$ .*

*Proof.* See [5].

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose  $R$  is a commutative ring with 0 and 1 as its only idempotents. Suppose  $X = \langle x \rangle$  is an infinite cyclic group. Then the unit group of  $R(X)$  is  $U(R) \cdot X$  if  $R$  contains no nonzero nilpotent elements.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\gamma, \mu \in R(X)$  such that  $\gamma\mu = 1$ . We can take  $\gamma = \sum_0^s a_i x^i$  and  $\mu = \sum_{-t}^r b_j x^j$ ,  $a_s \neq 0$ . We first claim that  $\mu = \sum_{-s}^0 b_j x^j$ ,  $b_{-s} \neq 0$ . Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  which does not contain  $a_s$ . Then looking at  $\gamma\mu = 1$  in  $R/P(X)$  we conclude by Lemma 1 that  $b_{-s} \neq 0$  and similarly if  $b_{-t} \neq 0$  then  $a_t \neq 0$ . Thus  $t = s$ . By the same argument if  $b_j \neq 0$  for some  $j > 0$

---

\* This work was supported by N.R.C. Grant Number A-5300.

then  $a_{-j} \neq 0$ . We conclude that  $\gamma = \sum_0^s a_i x^i$  and  $\mu = \sum_0^s b_{-j} x^{-j}$ .

Next we assert that  $a_i a_j = 0$  and  $a_i b_{-j} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Suppose  $a_i a_j \neq 0$  then choose a prime ideal  $P$  such that  $a_i a_j \notin P$ . Then  $\bar{a}_i \neq 0$  and  $\bar{a}_j \neq 0$  in  $R/P$  and  $\bar{\gamma} \bar{\mu} = 1$  in the group ring  $R/P(X)$ . This is a contradiction to Lemma 1. Thus  $a_i a_j = 0$  and similarly  $a_i b_{-j} = 0$  and  $b_{-i} b_{-j} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

Now we have the situation

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_0^s a_i x^i \right) \left( \sum_0^s b_{-j} x^{-j} \right) = 1 \\ (*) \quad & a_i b_{-j} = 0 = a_i a_j = b_{-i} b_{-j} \text{ for } i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

We can suppose  $a_s b_{-s} \neq 0$ . Then

$$a_0 b_0 + a_1 b_{-1} + \cdots + a_s b_{-s} = 1$$

Multiplying by  $a_s$  we have

$$a_s^2 b_{-s} = a_s \text{ and } (a_s b_{-s})^2 = a_s b_{-s}.$$

Thus  $a_s b_{-s} = 1$  and from (\*)  $a_i = 0$  for  $i \neq s$ . Hence

$$\gamma = a_s x^s \text{ and } \mu = b_{-s} x^{-s}. \text{ Q. E. D.}$$

We remind the reader that a group  $G$  is said to be residually finite if  $\bigcap_N N = 1$  where  $N$  runs over the normal subgroups of  $G$  with  $(G:N)$  finite.

**Lemma 3.** Suppose  $G$  is a residually finite group and  $Z(G)$  its integral group ring. Then

$$e \in Z(G), e^2 = e \Rightarrow e = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

*Proof.* The corresponding result for  $G$  finite is well known (see [2]). Let  $e = \sum_1^n \alpha_i g_i$ ,  $\alpha_i \in Z$ . Since  $G$  is residually finite one can choose a normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  such that  $(G:N) < \infty$  and the cosets  $g_i N$   $1 \leq i \leq n$  are all distinct. Consider the equation  $e^2 = e$  in  $Z(G/N)$ . It follows then, say  $g_1 \in N$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 1$  or  $0$  and  $\alpha_i = 0$  for  $i > 1$ . Hence  $e = 0$  or  $1$ .

**Lemma 4.** Suppose  $G$  is an arbitrary abelian group. Then

$$e \in Z(G), e^2 = e \Rightarrow e = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

*Proof.* This lemma follows from the last as  $G$  can be taken to be

finitely generated and hence residually finite.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $G$  is an arbitrary abelian group. Then the unit group of  $Z(G)$  is given by*

$$U(Z(G)) = \{ug \mid g \in G \text{ and } u \text{ is a unit of } Z(H) \text{ for some finite subgroup } H \text{ of } G\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{r} \in U(Z(G))$ . we can suppose  $G$  is finitely generated and

$$G = T \times \langle x_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle x_s \rangle, \quad |T| < \infty.$$

We use induction on  $s$ . Since  $Z(T \times \langle x_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle x_{s-1} \rangle)$  has no nontrivial idempotent or nilpotent elements, we can apply Lemma 2 to conclude that  $\bar{r} = \bar{r}_1 x_s^i$  where  $\bar{r}_1$  is a unit of  $Z(G_1)$ ,  $G_1 = T \times \langle x_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle x_{s-1} \rangle$ . Now by induction  $\bar{r}_1 = ug_1$  where  $g_1 \in G$  and  $u$  is a unit of  $Z(H)$ ,  $|H| < \infty$ . Thus  $\bar{r} = ug_1 x_s^i = ug$ .

**Corollary.** *If  $G$  is a finitely generated abelian group, say,  $G = T \times F$  where  $T$  is finite and  $F$  is free. Then the unit group of  $Z(G)$  is given by  $U_1 \times F$  where  $U_1$  is the unit group of  $Z(T)$ .*

**3. Automorphisms of  $Z(G)$ .** Since for  $G$  finite abelian, the only units of finite order in  $Z(G)$  are  $\pm g$  for  $g \in G$  (see [4]) it follows that all automorphisms of  $Z(G)$  are induced from (group) automorphisms of  $G$ . We have also proved (see [5]) that all automorphisms of  $Z(G)$  when  $G$  is torsion or torsion free abelian, are induced from automorphisms of  $G$ . But this need not be the case when  $G$  is mixed. For example, let  $G = \langle g \rangle \times \langle x \rangle$ ,  $g^5 = 1$  and  $o(x) = \infty$ . Then  $U$  the unit group of  $Z(G)$  is given by  $\pm G \times \langle u \rangle$ ,  $o(u) = \infty$ . This is because the free part in the unit group of  $Z(\langle g \rangle)$  has rank one (see [1] and [3]). Define  $\theta : Z(G) \rightarrow Z(G)$  by

$$\theta(\sum a_{ij} g^i x^j) = \sum a_{ij} g^i u^j x^j.$$

It is easy to see that  $\theta$  is a homomorphism. This is a one to one map as  $0 = \sum a_{ij} g^i u^j x^j \Rightarrow \sum_i a_{ij} g^i u^j = 0 \Rightarrow \sum a_{ij} g^i = 0 \Rightarrow a_{ij} = 0$ .

Let  $G$  be a finitely generated abelian group. Then  $G = T \times F$ , where  $T$  is finite and  $F$  is free. The unit group of  $Z(G)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} U(Z(G)) &= T \times U_1 \times F, \text{ where} \\ U(Z(T)) &= T \times U_1. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose

$A$  = Automorphism group of  $Z(G)$

$A_1$  = Group of those automorphisms of  $Z(G)$  which are induced by automorphisms of  $G$  (i. e.  $\theta(g) = \pm g_1$ ;  $g, g_1 \in G$ )

$A_2$  = Group of those automorphisms of  $T \times U_1 \times F$  which keep  $T \times U_1$  element wise fixed.

**Theorem 2.**  $A = A_1 \times A_2$ .

*Proof.* Given any element  $\theta$  of  $A$ , define

$$\lambda(g) = \begin{cases} \theta(g) & \text{for } g \in T \\ g & \text{for } g \in F. \end{cases}$$

Extend  $\lambda$  to an automorphism of  $Z(G)$ . Then  $\lambda \in A_1$  and  $\lambda^{-1}\theta$  keeps  $T \times U_1$  element wise fixed and can be considered as an element of  $A_2$ .

Conversely, given  $\lambda \in A_1$ ,  $\mu \in A_2$ , define

$$\theta(\sum_i u_i f_i) = \lambda(\sum_i u_i \mu(f_i)),$$

where  $f_i \in F$  are distinct and  $u_i \in Z(T)$ .  $\theta$  is clearly a homomorphism.

Suppose  $\theta(\sum_i u_i f_i) = 0$ . Then

$$0 = \theta(\sum_i u_i f_i) = \lambda(\sum_i u_i \mu(f_i)).$$

Therefore,  $0 = \sum u_i \mu(f_i) = \sum u_i v_i f'_i$ ,  $v_i \in T \times U_1$ ,  $f'_i \in F$ .

Therefore  $u_i = 0$  due to distinctness of  $f'_i$ . Hence,  $\sum u_i f_i = 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.G. AYOUB and C. AYOUB: On the group ring of a finite abelian group. Bull. Austr. Math. Soc. 1 (1969), 245—261.
- [2] S.D. BERMAN: On properties of integral group rings. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSR 91 (1953), 7—9.
- [3] J.A. COHN and D. LIVINGSTONE: On the structure of group algebras, I. Can. J. Math. 17 (1965), 583—593.
- [4] G. HIGMAN: The units of group rings. Proc. London Math. Soc. (2), 46 (1940), 231—248.
- [5] S.K. SEHGAL: On the isomorphism of integral group rings, I, Can. J. Math. 21 (1969), 410—413.

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA  
EDMONTON 7, ALBERTA, CANADA

(Received May 26, 1970)